

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/684,336	KANEKO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ishwar (I. B.) Patel	2827	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Being allowed

 (1) Ishwar (I. B.) Patel.

(3) _____.

 (2) Phillip J. Articola (Reg. 38,819).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 March 2004
Time: -

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Called the applicant to amend claim 1 to more clearly define the location of the metallic covering material to place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant gave permission to amend the claim by examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SEE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)